

Agency Revenue Radar

The evidence base: being heard, standing apart, and the revenue that results

This paper sets out the research behind the three measured outcomes and the market position the page describes. Each figure is attributed to its source. Where a source is independent, a public link is given. Where data is internal to AAAnow, it is identified as internal. The themes are ordered as they appear in the argument: being heard, standing apart in the pitch, revenue from existing clients, the state of AI readiness, and the change in what insight at scale now costs.

This document is intended to provide supporting evidence, reference material, background links, and relevant data. It has deliberately not been produced as a branded document, so the material can be referenced, extracted, or used in whichever format is most appropriate. Anyone researching AI readiness, the current position of AI, or related areas is welcome to use any or all elements as required. None of the content is restricted, and the purpose is to provide independent validation and verification for the points being made, rather than to act as finished marketing collateral.

1. Being heard

Generic outreach is filtered before it is read, and the largest measured samples agree on the order of magnitude. Across 12 million outreach emails, 8.5% received a response. A 2026 study of 31 million emails recorded a 3.6% reply rate for non-personalised contact, against 5.6% where two recipient-specific attributes were present, a lift of 56%. Manually edited messages outperformed fully automated ones by 18%. The pattern holds: relevance to the recipient, not volume, moves the response.

Decision-makers say the same thing the data shows. In a 2026 survey, 65% said cold contact fails because it is too focused on the sender, and 61% pointed to irrelevance. A 2025 buyer survey of 632 found 73% actively avoid suppliers that send irrelevant outreach. The barrier was never the value of a relevant, recipient-specific finding. It was the cost of producing one for more than a few contacts.

One qualifier sits alongside this. The same 2026 study found 69% of decision-makers are bothered when contact reads as machine-written, which is why recipient-specific insight has to arrive as genuinely useful rather than mass-produced.

2. Standing apart in the pitch

Most decisions are substantially formed before any formal process begins. A 2024 survey of 11,352 buyers found 92% start with at least one vendor in mind and 41% with a single preferred vendor. A 2025 survey found 68% hold a front-runner at the outset, and that front-runner wins 80% of the time, while 19% of marketing leaders believe buyers have clear early preferences. A separate 2025 study records that buyers purchase from a vendor on their initial shortlist in 95% of cases.

Credentials presented late do little to change this. A review of more than 1,000 credentials presentations found clients could rarely name the agencies afterwards. What buyers act on is independent insight delivered before the process forms: in a 2025 study, 79% said consistent, high-quality thought leadership makes them more likely to advocate for a vendor during an RFP, and 64% trust it more than product material. Evidence given early is what places an agency on the shortlist while the shortlist is still forming.

3. Revenue from existing clients

The economics favour the clients an agency already holds. The probability of selling to an existing customer is set at 60 to 70%, against 5 to 20% for a new prospect (Farris and colleagues). A 5% improvement in retention is associated with a profit increase of 25 to 95% (Bain, Reichheld). These are long-standing reference points and are cited as such.

Recent agency-specific data localises the picture. A 2025 study of 500 firms found 40% of those who outsource marketing switch or discontinue, and 56% of that movement happens within 6 to 12 months. Analysis of agency models in 2025 put annual churn at 18% for retainer relationships against 42% for project-based ones, with the average retainer relationship lasting around 56 months against 24. The common thread is silence after delivery. Where 86% of larger agencies are pursuing growth from existing clients, the post-launch gap is where that growth is won or lost.

4. The state of AI readiness

AI now assembles a picture of an organisation from across its whole footprint, not from owned content alone. Analysis of 21,311 brand mentions across AI assistants found 85% came from third-party sources and 13.2% from the brand's own domain. Independent work places brand-owned material at 5 to 10% of the sources AI references, and finds 16% of brands track their AI-search performance. A 2025 study recorded that users shown an AI summary clicked a traditional result in 8% of visits, against 15% for those who were not.

Few organisations are prepared for this. AAAnow research places 3% at the high levels of the AI Readiness Maturity Scale. Independent findings sit close to it: 4% of companies have built cutting-edge AI capability that consistently generates significant value (BCG, 1,000 executives, 59 countries, 2024), 1% of executives describe their rollouts as mature (McKinsey, 2025), and 13% of organisations are fully prepared (Cisco, 2025). A 2026 survey of 401 marketing leaders found 70% naming AI leadership as a critical goal while 30% report mature readiness. The enthusiasm runs ahead of the foundations, which is where misrepresentation takes hold.

5. What insight at scale now costs

Recipient-specific insight was expensive to produce, so it was reserved for the few contacts that justified it. Sellers spend under 30% of their time selling, and pre-contact research has consumed a significant part of the rest. Recent measurement shows automation compressing that: sellers using AI assistance expect research time down 34% and drafting time down 36%. The effect is that a specific, externally generated finding can now lead routine contact across a whole client base, rather than the few accounts that once warranted the work.

References and sources

Where a source is internal to AAAnow, this is stated. Where a named source has no direct public URL, the note says so rather than presenting an invented link. Figures described as projections are identified as such.

Being heard

1. Backlinko, with Pitchbox. We Analyzed 12 Million Outreach Emails, 2025. 8.5% response rate; one follow-up lifted replies by 65.8%. <https://backlinko.com/email-outreach-study>
2. Hunter. State of Email Outreach, 2026. 31 million emails. Two recipient-specific attributes lifted reply rates 56% (5.6% against 3.6%); manually edited emails outperformed automated by 18%; 65% say cold contact is too sender-focused; 69% are bothered by obviously machine-written contact. <https://hunter.io/the-state-of-cold-email/>
3. Hunter. Making Your Cold Emails Relevant, 2025. 11 million emails. Relevance named the leading factor in whether a recipient replies. <https://hunter.io/blog/making-your-cold-emails-relevant-101/>
4. Belkins. B2B Cold Email Benchmarks, 2025. 16.5 million emails. Reply rates rose from 3% without personalisation to 7% with it. <https://belkins.io/blog/b2b-cold-email-subject-line-statistics>
5. Gartner. Sales Survey, 2025. 632 B2B buyers. 73% avoid suppliers that send irrelevant outreach; 69% report inconsistency between a seller's website and what sellers say. <https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom>

Standing apart in the pitch

6. Forrester. Buyers' Journey Survey, 2024. 11,352 buyers. 92% start with a vendor in mind; 41% with a single preferred vendor. <https://www.forrester.com/blogs/>
7. Forrester. Buyers' Journey Survey, 2025. 68% hold a front-runner at the outset and it wins 80% of the time; 19% of marketing leaders believe buyers have clear early preferences. <https://www.forrester.com/blogs/>
8. 6sense. Buyer Experience Report, 2025. Buyers purchase from a vendor on their initial shortlist in 95% of cases. <https://6sense.com/resources>
9. Edelman and LinkedIn. B2B Thought Leadership Impact Report, 2025. 79% more likely to advocate for a vendor during an RFP given consistent thought leadership; 64% trust it more than product material. The 2024 edition (3,500 decision-makers) records 75% trust over marketing, 86% more inclined to invite into a process, 60% premium willingness. <https://www.edelman.com/insights/hidden-buyer-b2b>
10. TrinityP3. Observation across 1,000+ credentials presentations. Clients rarely recall agencies after consecutive pitches. Practitioner observation. <https://www.trinityp3.com/>
11. Ehrenberg-Bass Institute and LinkedIn B2B Institute. The 95-5 Rule, 2021. Around 5% of business buyers are in market in a given quarter. <https://business.linkedin.com/marketing-solutions/b2b-institute>

Revenue from existing clients

12. Farris, Bendle, Pfeifer and Reibstein. Marketing Metrics. Probability of selling to an existing customer 60 to 70%, against 5 to 20% for a new prospect. Long-standing reference. *No public URL*
13. Reichheld, Bain and Company, via Harvard Business Review. A 5% retention improvement is associated with a 25 to 95% profit increase. Long-standing reference. <https://hbr.org/>
14. vcita. SMB Marketing Report, 2025. 500 firms. 40% who outsource marketing switch or discontinue; 56% of that within 6 to 12 months. <https://www.vcita.com/>
15. Focus Digital. Average Marketing Agency Churn, 2025. Retainer churn 18% against 42% for project-based; retainer lifespan around 56 months against 24. <https://focus-digital.co/average-marketing-agency-churn/>

16. The WOW Company. BenchPress 2025 and 2026. 677 UK agencies. 46% cite new business as the leading challenge; 86% of £1m+ agencies pursuing growth from existing clients. <https://www.thewowcompany.com/benchpress>

The state of AI readiness

17. AirOps. The Influence of Offsite Signals in AI Search, 2025. 21,311 brand mentions. 85% from third-party sources, 13.2% from the brand's own domain. <https://www.airops.com/report/the-influence-of-offsite-signals-in-ai-search>

18. McKinsey and Company. New front door to the internet, 2025. Brand-owned sites 5 to 10% of sources AI references; 16% of brands track AI-search performance. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/new-front-door-to-the-internet-winning-in-the-age-of-ai-search>

19. Pew Research Center, 2025. 900 US adults, 68,879 queries. Users shown an AI summary clicked a traditional result in 8% of visits, against 15% without. <https://www.pewresearch.org/>

20. Boston Consulting Group. Where's the Value in AI?, 2024. 1,000 executives, 59 countries. 4% have built cutting-edge AI capability that consistently generates significant value. <https://web-assets.bcg.com/a5/37/be4ddf26420e95aa7107a35aae8d/bcg-wheres-the-value-in-ai.pdf>

21. McKinsey and Company. Superagency in the Workplace, 2025. 1% of executives describe their gen AI rollouts as mature. <https://www.mckinsey.com/>

22. Cisco. AI Readiness Index, 2025. 8,000+ leaders, 30 markets. 13% of organisations fully prepared. <https://www.cisco.com/>

23. Gartner. CMO Spend Survey, 2026. 401 marketing leaders. 70% name AI leadership a critical goal; 30% report mature readiness. <https://www.gartner.com/>

24. AAAnow. AI Readiness Maturity Scale, 2026. 3% at the high levels of the scale, drawn from 10% of 119 million websites assessed, including 270 superbrands across UK, US and European names completed 8 to 12 May 2026, and EMEA listed-market constituents. Internal. *Internal*

What insight at scale now costs

25. Salesforce. State of Sales. Sellers spend under 30% of their time selling; with AI assistance, expected research time down 34% and drafting time down 36%. <https://www.salesforce.com/news/>

26. Forrester. Sales productivity analysis. Around 23% of seller time goes to direct engagement, rising with quota attainment. <https://www.forrester.com/>

Compiled for AAAnow, 2026. Figures attributed to named sources are reproduced as published. Internal data is identified as internal and reflects AAAnow research.